

MSC2020: 49N70, 49N79, 91A24

© *B. T. Samatov, M. A. Horilov, B. I. Juraev*

II-STRATEGY FOR A DIFFERENTIAL GAME OF PURSUIT WITH INTEGRAL CONSTRAINTS OF A GENERALIZED TYPE

The paper investigates a differential game of simple pursuit, when the controls of two opposing players are subject to integral constraints of a generalized type. The generalization of the proposed restriction lies in the fact that it includes previously known restrictions such as integral, geometric, linear, exponential and their mixtures. In general, it includes 25 types of pursuit problems with such different types of constraints. To solve the pursuit problem under such generalized constraints, we propose a parallel pursuit strategy (Π -strategy for short) and find sufficient conditions for the solvability of this problem. At the end of the article, tables are provided that list each particular type of game, the conditions for its solvability, the resolving function (which determines the corresponding Π -strategy), and the time of capture.

Keywords: differential game, nonlinear integral constraint, pursuer, evader, strategy, pursuit, guaranteed capture time.

DOI: [10.35634/vm230208](https://doi.org/10.35634/vm230208)

Introduction

A systematic study of conflict-controlled dynamical systems described by differential equations was started in the 50's by the American mathematician R. Isaacs [1], and this theory is referred to by him as "Differential Games". In his monograph [1], a number of applied problems are considered and general ideas are proposed, which are mainly based on game-theoretic and variational methods of solution. Further, on the part of L. D. Berkovitz [2], W. H. Fleming [3], A. Friedman [4] and many other followers, rigorization and development of many of Isaacs' heuristic results were undertaken.

In accordance with the fundamental perspectives in the Theory of Differential Games advanced by L. S. Pontryagin [5], N. N. Krasovskii and A. I. Subbotin [6], a differential game is viewed as a control problem from the standpoint of either a pursuer or an evader. From this viewpoint, the game comes to either a pursuit (convergence) problem or an evasion (escape) problem.

Amid several examples examined in [1], the "Life line" game has a special role as an example of a differential game with phase constraints. For the case when controls of both players are bounded with geometrical constraints, L. A. Petrosyan completely solved this game by means of the "parallel pursuit strategy" (the Π -strategy), which was introduced by him [7]. This strategy later became an efficient method in the solution of other differential games of pursuit (see e. g. B. N. Pshenichnyi and V. V. Ostapenko [8], A. A. Chikrii and A. A. Belousov [9], D. P. Kim [10], N. Yu. Satimov [11], B. B. Rikhsiev [12], A. A. Azamov and B. T. Samatov [13]). The Π -strategy subsequently acted as the starting point for the growth of the pursuit method in differential games with many pursuers (see e. g. B. N. Pshenichnyi [14], B. N. Pshenichnyi, A. A. Chikrii, and J. S. Rappoport [15], A. A. Chikrii [16], L. A. Petrosyan [17, 18], N. N. Petrov [19, 20], A. I. Blagodatskikh and N. N. Petrov [21], N. L. Grigorenko [22], A. A. Azamov [23], B. T. Samatov [24–29]).

In the Theory of Differential Games, control functions are chiefly subjected to geometrical, integral or mixed constraints (A. N. Dar'in and A. B. Kurzhanskii [30], D. V. Kornev and

N. Yu. Lukoyanov [31], G. I. Ibragimov [32, 33]). Problems related to integral constraints are more intriguing and more complex than problems concerned with geometrical constraints. Yet, constraints of both kinds are practically important. Geometrical constraints denote the restricted nature of the dynamical chances of an object (for instance, a restriction on the propulsion). The integral constraints express the limited property of resources (for instance, fuel). In practical applications terms, simple motion differential games where integral and geometrical constraints put on controls at the same time, as well as with diverse constraints, were extensively studied (B. T. Samatov [25], B. T. Samatov and B. I. Juraev [34, 35], A. N. Dar'in and A. B. Kurzanskii [30], V. V. Ostapenko and I. L. Ryzhkova [36], A. A. Azamov, A. Sh. Kuchkarov and B. T. Samatov [37]). In [38–45], differential games of pursuit with various constraints imposed on control functions of players were considered and sufficient conditions of the pursuit termination were defined. In [24, 28], the notion of linear constraint, which generalizes both geometrical and integral constraints, was introduced and analogues of the Π -strategy were suggested to solve the pursuit problem.

In this paper, we study a differential game of simple pursuit with nonlinear integral constraints on the players' controls. To solve this pursuit problem, a more general Π -strategy is applied and the evader is caught with its help. It should be noted that the constraint on the players' controls proposed in the paper generalizes the previously known constraints, such as integral, geometric, linear, and their combined variants. Accordingly, the strategy and conditions for catching the evader are generalized, which are presented here in the form of tables. The obtained results are based on previously known works as [4, 13, 18, 29–31, 46–49] and extend the studies of R. Isaacs, L. A. Petrosyan, B. N. Pshenichny, A. A. Azamov and others, including the authors.

§ 1. Statement of the problems

Consider a differential game of two players. Let a controlled player P (the pursuer) follow another controlled player E (the evader) in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that the positions of the players P and E are described by x and y respectively in \mathbb{R}^n , and the players' movements are based on the following differential equations with initial conditions

$$P: \dot{x} = u, \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$E: \dot{y} = v, \quad y(0) = y_0 \quad (1.2)$$

correspondingly, where $x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$; x_0 and y_0 are the initial states of the objects and it is presumed that $x_0 \neq y_0$; u and v are the velocity controls which function as parameters of equations (1.1) and (1.2). We regard the control parameters u and v as measurable functions $u(\cdot): [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $v(\cdot): [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, respectively.

The classes of all measurable functions $u(\cdot)$ and $v(\cdot)$ such that satisfy the following conditions

$$\int_0^t |u(s)|^2 ds \leq \rho_0^2, \quad \rho_0 > 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\int_0^t |v(s)|^2 ds \leq \sigma_0^2, \quad \sigma_0 > 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.4)$$

respectively, are denoted by \mathbb{U}_I and \mathbb{V}_I , where $\mathbb{U}_I, \mathbb{V}_I \subset L_2[0, +\infty)$. Note that in (1.3) and (1.4) and in further constraints, as the norms of the control vectors u and v in the space \mathbb{R}^n , we will consider the usual Euclidean norm, i. e., $|u| = \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2 + \dots + u_n^2}$, where u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n are the coordinates of the vector u in the space \mathbb{R}^n , and $|v| = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + \dots + v_n^2}$, where v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n are the coordinates of the vector v in the same space \mathbb{R}^n . Usually, the constraint of type (1.3) (or (1.4), respectively) is called *the integral constraint* (in brief, *the I-constraint*), or *the energy constraint* on the controls (see [9, 11, 13, 23, 24, 26–28, 32, 33]).

The classes of all measurable functions $u(\cdot)$ and $v(\cdot)$ such that satisfy the following conditions

$$\int_0^t |u(s)|^2 ds \leq t\rho_1^2, \quad \rho_1 > 0, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\int_0^t |v(s)|^2 ds \leq t\sigma_1^2, \quad \sigma_1 > 0, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.6}$$

respectively, are denoted by \mathbb{U}_G and \mathbb{V}_G , where $\mathbb{U}_G, \mathbb{V}_G \subset L_\infty[0, +\infty)$, in the works [13, 23, 24, 28], and the constraint of type (1.5) (or (1.6), respectively) is called *the geometric constraint* (in brief, *the G-constraint*) on the controls. Here the class \mathbb{U}_G (or \mathbb{V}_G , respectively) is wider than the class of measurable functions $u(\cdot)$ (or $v(\cdot)$, respectively) fulfilling the geometric constraint $|u(t)| \leq \rho_1$ (or $|v(t)| \leq \sigma_1$, respectively) for almost every $t \geq 0$, i. e., in this sense it is less hard (see [1, 5, 7, 13, 17, 18, 22–24, 28]). However, we need to note that both of these classes give almost the same results when solving game problems.

In [13, 24, 28], the classes of all measurable functions $u(\cdot)$ and $v(\cdot)$ satisfying the following conditions

$$\int_0^t |u(s)|^2 ds \leq \rho_1^2 t + \rho_0^2, \quad \rho_0 \geq 0, \quad \rho_1 \geq 0, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\int_0^t |v(s)|^2 ds \leq \sigma_1^2 t + \sigma_0^2, \quad \sigma_0 \geq 0, \quad \sigma_1 \geq 0, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.8}$$

respectively, are denoted by \mathbb{U}_L and \mathbb{V}_L . The restriction of the form (1.7) or (1.8) is called *the linear constraint* (briefly, *the L-constraint*).

Furthermore, we denote by $\mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^1$ and $\mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^1$ the classes of all measurable functions $u(\cdot)$ and $v(\cdot)$ such that the following conditions, which were first used and investigated in [29],

$$\int_0^t |u(s)|^2 ds \leq \frac{\rho_1^2}{2k} (1 - e^{-2kt}), \quad \rho_1 > 0, \quad k > 0, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.9}$$

$$\int_0^t |v(s)|^2 ds \leq \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k} (1 - e^{-2kt}), \quad \sigma_1 > 0, \quad k > 0, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.10}$$

are satisfied, respectively. We call the restriction of the form (1.9) (or (1.10), respectively) *the exponential constraint from scratch*, or briefly, *the I_{exp}^1 -constraint*.

In this work, as a generalization of the constraints (1.3)–(1.10) we will introduce a new type of the integral constraint on the controls of the objects P and E , viz., *an exponential constraint with initial resource* (in brief, *I_{exp}^2 -constraint*). For the control functions $u(\cdot)$ and $v(\cdot)$, these constraints have the forms

$$\int_0^t |u(s)|^2 ds \leq \frac{\rho_1^2}{2k} (1 - e^{-2kt}) + \rho_0^2, \quad \rho_0 > 0, \quad \rho_1 > 0, \quad k > 0, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\int_0^t |v(s)|^2 ds \leq \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k} (1 - e^{-2kt}) + \sigma_0^2, \quad \sigma_0 > 0, \quad \sigma_1 > 0, \quad k > 0, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{1.12}$$

Let the classes of all control functions $u(\cdot)$ and $v(\cdot)$ fulfilling I_{exp}^2 -constraints (1.11) and (1.12), respectively be denoted by $\mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$ and $\mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$. In the constraints (1.3), (1.4), (1.7), (1.8), (1.11), (1.12), the parameters ρ_0^2 and σ_0^2 represent the initial resources of the objects P and E correspondingly.

We need to remark that I_{exp}^2 -constraints (1.11) and (1.12) can be looked on as the general form of integral, linear and mixed constraints (see [13, 23–28, 31, 34–36]). Problems with linear and mixed constraints are more complicated than problems with integral and geometric constraints.

Depending on the above-mentioned constraints on the controls of the Pursuer and the Evader, we have twenty five variants of the pursuit–evasion differential games with simple motions (1.1) and (1.2). For definiteness and simplicity, let us call them as follows:

- (1) *The I_{exp}^1 -Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^1$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^1$;
- (2) *The I-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_I$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_I$;
- (3) *The I_{exp}^1 I-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^1$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_I$;
- (4) *The $I_{\text{exp}}^1 I_{\text{exp}}^2$ -Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^1$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$;
- (5) *The I_{exp}^2 I-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_I$;
- (6) *The $I_{\text{exp}}^2 I_{\text{exp}}^1$ -Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^1$;
- (7) *The II_{exp}^2 -Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_I$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$;
- (8) *The II_{exp}^1 -Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_I$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^1$;
- (9) *The L-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_L$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_L$;
- (10) *The GL-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_G$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_L$;
- (11) *The LI-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_L$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_I$;
- (12) *The LG-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_L$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_G$;
- (13) *The G-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_G$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_G$;
- (14) *The GI-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_G$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_I$;
- (15) *The IL-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_I$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_L$;
- (16) *The IG-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_I$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_G$;
- (17) *The I_{exp}^2 -Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$;
- (18) *The I_{exp}^2 G-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_G$;
- (19) *The I_{exp}^2 L-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_L$;
- (20) *The GI_{exp}^2 -Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_G$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$;
- (21) *The LI_{exp}^2 -Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_L$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$;
- (22) *The I_{exp}^1 G-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^1$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_G$;
- (23) *The I_{exp}^1 L-Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^1$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_L$;
- (24) *The GI_{exp}^1 -Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_G$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^1$;
- (25) *The LI_{exp}^1 -Game* if $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_L$ and $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^1$.

In the present, we are mainly going to investigate the I_{exp}^2 -Game of Pursuit and show our main results. The rest twenty four cases will be reviewed in the form of a table in the third section of this work.

Definition 1. The functions $u(\cdot) = (u_1(\cdot), \dots, u_n(\cdot))$ and $v(\cdot) = (v_1(\cdot), \dots, v_n(\cdot))$ are said to be *admissible control functions* of the Pursuer and the Evader, respectively, if (1.11) and (1.12) are satisfied for $u(\cdot)$ and $v(\cdot)$ correspondingly.

Definition 2. For the pair $(x_0, u(\cdot))$, $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$, the solution of equation (1.1)

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t u(s) ds$$

is called a *trajectory of the Pursuer* in the time interval $[0, +\infty)$.

Definition 3. For the pair $(y_0, v(\cdot))$, $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$ the solution of equation (1.2)

$$y(t) = y_0 + \int_0^t v(s) ds$$

is called a *trajectory of the Evader* in the time interval $[0, +\infty)$.

The principal aim of the Pursuer is to capture the Evader, i. e., to reach the equality $x(t) = y(t)$ (the Pursuit game), and meanwhile the Evader struggles not to encounter the Pursuer (the Evasion game), viz., to keep on the relation $x(t) \neq y(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$, and in the opposite case, to delay the time of encounter as long as possible. This is the preliminary statement of the pursuit–evasion problems for the considered game.

Lemma 1. If $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$, then $x(t) \in S_{r(t)}(x_0)$ for all $t \geq 0$, where $r(t) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\rho_1^2}{2k} + \rho_0^2\right)t}$ and $S_{r(t)}(x_0)$ is the closed ball of the space \mathbb{R}^n with radius $r(t)$ and centered at the point x_0 .

P r o o f. Let $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$. Then from (1.1), (1.11) and the Cauchy–Bunyakovskii inequality, we can write the following estimations down:

$$|x(t) - x_0| \leq \int_0^t |u(s)| ds \leq \sqrt{t} \sqrt{\int_0^t |u(s)|^2 ds} \leq \sqrt{t} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt}) + \rho_0^2} < \sqrt{\left(\frac{\rho_1^2}{2k} + \rho_0^2\right)t},$$

where $t \geq 0$. This finishes the proof. □

Definition 4. We call a function $\mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$ a *strategy of the Pursuer* if:

- (a) $\mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2(t, v)$ is Borel measurable with respect to v for every fixed t and besides, it is Lebesgue measurable with respect to t for each fixed v ;
- (b) the inclusion $\mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2(t, v(\cdot)) \in \mathbb{U}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$ holds for each $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$ on a finite time interval $[0, t]$; in this case, the function $\mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2(t, v(\cdot))$ is called a *realization of the strategy* $\mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2(t, v)$;
- (c) for every $v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$ and for each $t \in [0, +\infty)$, the equality $v_1(s) = v_2(s)$ holds for almost everywhere on $[0, t]$, then $u_1(s) = u_2(s)$ is valid for almost everywhere on $[0, t]$, where $u_i(\cdot) = \mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2(t, v_i(\cdot))$, $i = 1, 2$.

Definition 5. It is said that a strategy $\mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2 = \mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2(t, v)$ *guarantees realization of capture* at a finite time $T(\mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2)$ if the equality $x(\theta) = y(\theta)$ is valid for any control $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2$ of the Evader at some $\theta \in [0, T(\mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2)]$, where $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are solutions of the initial value problems

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2(t, v(t)), & x(0) &= x_0, & t &\geq 0, \\ \dot{y} &= v(t), & y(0) &= y_0, & t &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, and the time $T(\mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}}^2)$ is generally called a *guaranteed capture time*.

Put $z(t) = x(t) - y(t)$, $z_0 = x_0 - y_0$.

Definition 6. A strategy $\mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}^2} = \mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v)$ is called a *parallel pursuit strategy*, or simply, $\Pi_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}$ -strategy if, for each control function $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}^2$, a solution $z(t)$ of the initial value problem

$$\dot{z} = \mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v(t)) - v(t), \quad z(0) = z_0 \quad (1.13)$$

can be described as

$$z(t) = \Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v(\cdot))z_0, \quad \Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(0, v(\cdot)) = 1,$$

where $\Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v(\cdot))$ is the scalar, continuous, and monotonically decreasing function of t , $t \geq 0$, which is said to be a *convergence function of the objects P and E* in the I_{exp}^2 -Game of Pursuit.

§ 2. Solution of the I_{exp}^2 -Game of Pursuit

In the I_{exp}^2 -Game of Pursuit, we assume that the Pursuer is allowed to know the initial states x_0, y_0 , the constants $\rho_0, \sigma_0, \rho_1, \sigma_1, k$ and the value of $v(t)$ at each current time t . Below we will give a definition of the parallel pursuit strategy for the Pursuer.

Definition 7. We call the function

$$\mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v) = v - \lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v)\xi_0 \quad (2.1)$$

the $\Pi_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}$ -strategy in the I_{exp}^2 -Game of Pursuit, where

$$\lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v) = \mu_0 + \langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \sqrt{(\mu_0 + \langle v, \xi_0 \rangle)^2 + \delta_1 e^{-2kt}}, \quad (2.2)$$

$\mu_0 = \delta_0/2|z_0|$, $\delta_0 = \rho_0^2 - \sigma_0^2$, $\delta_1 = \rho_1^2 - \sigma_1^2$, $\xi_0 = z_0/|z_0|$, $z_0 = x_0 - y_0$, and $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle$ means the inner product of the vectors v and ξ_0 in \mathbb{R}^n .

It is worth noting that the function $\lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v)$ is usually called *the resolving function*.

Proposition 1. If $\delta_1 \geq 0$, then for any pair $(t, v): \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}^2$:

(a) the function $\lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v)$ is defined and non-negative;

(b) the equality

$$\left| \mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v) \right|^2 = |v|^2 + \frac{\delta_0}{|z_0|} \lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v) + \delta_1 e^{-2kt}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.3)$$

is satisfied.

Lemma 2. Let $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_0 \geq 0$ be valid. Then the equation

$$\sqrt{\Phi(t) + \Psi(t)} - \sqrt{\Phi(t)} = |z_0| \quad (2.4)$$

has at least one positive root with respect to t , $t \geq 0$, where

$$\Phi(t) = t \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k} (1 - e^{-2kt}) + \sigma_0^2 \right), \quad \Psi(t) = \delta_0 t + \frac{\delta_1}{k^2} (1 - e^{-kt})^2.$$

P r o o f. From (2.4) let's write the following function down:

$$\Gamma(t) = \sqrt{\Phi(t) + \Psi(t)} - \sqrt{\Phi(t)} - |z_0|.$$

Consider below some properties of $\Gamma(t)$, i. e.,

- (a) $\Gamma(0) = -|z_0|$;
- (b) take the limit of $\Gamma(t)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma(t) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left[\sqrt{\Phi(t) + \Psi(t)} - \sqrt{\Phi(t)} - |z_0| \right] = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left[\frac{\Psi(t)}{\sqrt{\Phi(t) + \Psi(t)} + \sqrt{\Phi(t)}} - |z_0| \right] = +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

According to the above properties of $\Gamma(t)$, there can be found some $\tau \in [0, +\infty)$ that generates $\Gamma(\tau) = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 8. In the I_{exp}^2 -Game of Pursuit, we call the smallest positive root of (2.4) *the guaranteed capture time* and denote it by $T_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}$.

Now we can move on to formulating our main result for the I_{exp}^2 -Game of Pursuit.

Theorem 1. Let $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_0 \geq 0$. Then $\Pi_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}$ -strategy (2.1) guarantees realization of capture on the time interval $[0, T_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}]$.

P r o o f. Assume that the Evader chooses an optional control $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}^2$. Then the Pursuer applies the $\Pi_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}$ -strategy. From (1.13) and (2.1) it proceeds Cauchy's problem

$$\dot{z} = \mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v(t)) - v(t) = -\lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v(t))\xi_0, \quad z(0) = z_0, \tag{2.5}$$

where $z = x - y$. Integrating both sides of (2.5) and taking (2.2) into account we find the solution

$$z(t) = \Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v(\cdot))z_0, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v(\cdot)) = 1 - \frac{1}{|z_0|} \int_0^t \lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(s, v(s)) ds.$$

Now, analyze the nature of decay of the convergence function $\Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v(\cdot))$ with respect to t . Obviously, the function $\Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v(\cdot))$ is continuous and monotonically decreasing with respect to t , $t \geq 0$, and therefore, in view of (2.2), the following estimation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(t, v(\cdot)) &\leq 1 - \frac{1}{|z_0|} \int_0^t \left[\mu_0 - |v(s)| + \sqrt{(\mu_0 - |v(s)|)^2 + \delta_1 e^{-2ks}} \right] ds = \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{|z_0|} \int_0^t \left[e^{-ks} \left[e^{ks}(\mu_0 - |v(s)|) + \sqrt{(e^{ks}(\mu_0 - |v(s)|))^2 + \delta_1} \right] \right] ds, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v(\cdot)) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{|z_0|} \int_0^t \psi(s)\varphi(w(s)) ds, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\psi(s) = e^{-ks}$, $w(s) = e^{ks}(\mu_0 - |v(s)|)$ and $\varphi(w) = w + \sqrt{w^2 + \delta_1}$. Since $\varphi(w)$ is a convex function ($\ddot{\varphi}(w) > 0$) with w and $\psi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is integrable, the Jensen inequality

$$\int_0^t \psi(s)\varphi(w(s)) ds \geq \int_0^t \psi(s) ds \varphi\left(\frac{\int_0^t \psi(s)w(s) ds}{\int_0^t \psi(s) ds}\right)$$

can be applied to the integral in (2.7). Then for the right side of this Jensen inequality and from the definitions of the functions $\psi(s)$, $w(s)$ and $\varphi(w)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \psi(s) ds \varphi\left(\frac{\int_0^t \psi(s)w(s) ds}{\int_0^t \psi(s) ds}\right) &= \int_0^t (\mu_0 - |v(s)|) ds + \\ &+ \sqrt{\left(\int_0^t (\mu_0 - |v(s)|) ds\right)^2 + \frac{\delta_1}{k^2} (1 - e^{-kt})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (2.7) we get

$$\Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v(\cdot)) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{|z_0|} \left[\mu_0 t - p(t) + \sqrt{(\mu_0 t - p(t))^2 + \delta_1 \left(\frac{1 - e^{-kt}}{k}\right)^2} \right], \quad (2.8)$$

where $p(t) = \int_0^t |v(s)| ds$. The function

$$f(t, p) = \mu_0 t - p + \sqrt{(\mu_0 t - p)^2 + \delta_1 \left(\frac{1 - e^{-kt}}{k}\right)^2},$$

which is on the right-hand side of (2.8), is monotonically decreasing with respect to p . Taking into account the Cauchy–Bunyakovskii inequality and constraint (1.12), we have

$$\int_0^t |v(s)| ds \leq \sqrt{t} \left(\int_0^t |v(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{\Phi(t)}.$$

Then, from these inequalities and from the property of monotonically decreasing function $f(t, p)$, we obtain an inequality of the form

$$f(t, p(t)) \geq \mu_0 t - \sqrt{\Phi(t)} + \sqrt{\left(\mu_0 t - \sqrt{\Phi(t)}\right)^2 + \delta_1 \left(\frac{1 - e^{-kt}}{k}\right)^2}$$

for $t \geq 0$. Thus, by virtue of the last inequality, from (2.7) we obtain

$$\Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t, v(\cdot)) \leq \Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t), \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t) = 1 - \frac{1}{|z_0|} \left[\mu_0 t - \sqrt{\Phi(t)} + \sqrt{\left(\mu_0 t - \sqrt{\Phi(t)}\right)^2 + \delta_1 \left(\frac{1 - e^{-kt}}{k}\right)^2} \right].$$

Let us show the equivalence of the equalities $\Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t) = 0$ and (2.4). From the latter and from the form $\Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(t)$, it easily follows that

$$\sqrt{\left(\mu_0 t - \sqrt{\Phi(t)}\right)^2 + \delta_1 \left(\frac{1 - e^{-kt}}{k}\right)^2} + \mu_0 t - \sqrt{\Phi(t)} = |z_0|.$$

Multiplying this equality on both sides by the conjugate part of the left side we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \left(\frac{1 - e^{-kt}}{k} \right)^2 &= |z_0| \left[\sqrt{\left(\mu_0 t - \sqrt{\Phi(t)} \right)^2 + \delta_1 \left(\frac{1 - e^{-kt}}{k} \right)^2} - \mu_0 t + \sqrt{\Phi(t)} \right] \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\delta_1}{|z_0|} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-kt}}{k} \right)^2 + \mu_0 t - \sqrt{\Phi(t)} &= \sqrt{\left(\mu_0 t - \sqrt{\Phi(t)} \right)^2 + \delta_1 \left(\frac{1 - e^{-kt}}{k} \right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Squaring the latter on both sides, we get

$$\delta_1 \left(\frac{1 - e^{-kt}}{k} \right)^2 + 2|z_0| \left(\mu_0 t - \sqrt{\Phi(t)} \right) = |z_0|^2.$$

Since $\mu_0 = \delta_0/2|z_0|$ (see (2.2)), we find

$$|z_0|^2 + 2|z_0|\sqrt{\Phi(t)} - \Psi(t) = 0$$

whence (2.4).

Now, by virtue of Lemma 2, there exists a time $T_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}$ such that $\Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(T_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}) = 0$. Based on (2.9) there exists some time $\eta \in [0, T_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}]$ which gives the result $\Lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(\eta, v(\cdot)) = 0$ and thereby, by virtue of (2.6) we have $z(\eta) = 0$, or, more precisely, $x(\eta) = y(\eta)$.

And now, we will have to prove the admissibility of the strategy (2.1) for all $t \in [0, \eta]$. To do this, suppose that the Evader picks an arbitrary control $v(\cdot) \in \mathbb{V}_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}$. Then taking the integral of both sides of (2.3) and considering (1.12) we obtain the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\eta \left| \mathbf{u}_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(s, v(s)) \right|^2 ds &= \int_0^\eta |v(s)|^2 ds + \frac{\delta_0}{|z_0|} \int_0^\eta \lambda_{I_{\text{exp}}^2}(s, v(s)) ds + \delta_1 \int_0^\eta e^{-2ks} ds \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2k\eta}) + \sigma_0^2 + \delta_0 + \frac{\delta_1}{2k}(1 - e^{-2k\eta}) \leq \frac{\rho_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2k\eta}) + \rho_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

§3. Generality of the I_{exp}^2 -Game of Pursuit

To compare the results obtained in the works [13, 24, 25, 28, 29, 34, 35], several cases related to the constraints (1.3)–(1.12) are individually given in the following tables.

Table 1. Types of games and conditions of capture

| № | Game | k, ρ_1 | k, ρ_0 | k, σ_1 | k, σ_0 | Capture condition | Reference |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--|-----------|
| 1 | I_{exp}^1 | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, 0$ | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1$ | [29] |
| 2 | I | $> 0, 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $\rho_0 > \sigma_0$ | [13] |
| 3 | $I_{\text{exp}}^1 I$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, 0$ | $> 0, 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $\rho_1 > 0$ | |
| 4 | $I_{\text{exp}}^1 I_{\text{exp}}^2$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1$ | |
| 5 | $I_{\text{exp}}^2 I$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $\rho_1 > 0$ | |
| 6 | $I_{\text{exp}}^2 I_{\text{exp}}^1$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, 0$ | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1$ | |
| 7 | II_{exp}^2 | $> 0, 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $\rho_0^2 \geq 2\sigma_0^2 + 4 z_0 \sigma_1$ | |
| 8 | II_{exp}^1 | $> 0, 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, > 0$ | $> 0, 0$ | $\rho_0^2 \geq 4 z_0 \sigma_1$ | |

| | | | | | | | |
|----|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--------------|
| 9 | L | +0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1$ | [24, 28] |
| 10 | GL | +0, > 0 | +0, 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1$ | [24, 28] |
| 11 | LI | +0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, 0 | +0, > 0 | $\rho_1 > 0$ | [13, 28] |
| 12 | LG | +0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, 0 | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1$ | [13, 24, 28] |
| 13 | G | +0, > 0 | +0, 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, 0 | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1$ | [13] |
| 14 | GI | +0, > 0 | +0, 0 | +0, 0 | +0, > 0 | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1$ | [13, 25] |
| 15 | IL | +0, 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | $\rho_0^2 \geq 2\sigma_0^2 + 4 z_0 \sigma_1$ | [13, 28] |
| 16 | IG | +0, 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, 0 | $\rho_0^2 \geq 4 z_0 \sigma_1^2$ | [13, 25] |
| 17 | I_{exp}^2 | > 0, > 0 | > 0, > 0 | > 0, > 0 | > 0, > 0 | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1$ | |
| 18 | $I_{\text{exp}}^2 G$ | > 0, > 0 | > 0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, 0 | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1, d_1 \geq z_0 $ | |
| 19 | $I_{\text{exp}}^2 L$ | > 0, > 0 | > 0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | $\rho_0 > \sigma_0, \rho_1 > \sigma_1, d_2 \geq z_0 $ | |
| 20 | GI_{exp}^2 | +0, > 0 | +0, 0 | > 0, > 0 | > 0, > 0 | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1$ | |
| 21 | LI_{exp}^2 | +0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | > 0, > 0 | > 0, > 0 | $\rho_0 \geq \sigma_0, \rho_1 > \sigma_1$ | |
| 22 | $I_{\text{exp}}^1 G$ | > 0, > 0 | > 0, 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, 0 | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1, \max d_3 \geq z_0 $ | [35] |
| 23 | $I_{\text{exp}}^1 L$ | > 0, > 0 | > 0, 0 | +0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1, \max d_4 \geq z_0 $ | |
| 24 | GI_{exp}^1 | +0, > 0 | +0, 0 | > 0, > 0 | > 0, 0 | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1$ | |
| 25 | LI_{exp}^1 | +0, > 0 | +0, > 0 | > 0, > 0 | > 0, 0 | $\rho_1 > \sigma_1$ | |

where

$$d_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_0^2}{k} \ln \frac{\rho_1}{\sigma_1} + \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{k} \ln \frac{\rho_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^2} - \frac{\sigma_1}{k} \ln \frac{\rho_1}{\sigma_1}, \quad d_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_0^2 - \sigma_0^2}{k} \ln \frac{\rho_1}{\sigma_1} + \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{k} \ln \frac{\rho_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^2} - \frac{\sigma_1}{k} \ln \frac{\rho_1}{\sigma_1},$$

$$d_3 = t(\rho_1 e^{-kt} - \sigma_1), \quad d_4 = \rho_1 e^{-kt} t - \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 t^2 + \sigma_0^2 t},$$

the symbol “> 0” means that the corresponding parameter is greater than zero, and the symbol “+0” means that the corresponding parameter tends to zero from the positive side.

Table 2. Resolving functions and guaranteed capture times

| № | Game | Resolving function | Guaranteed capture time |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | I_{exp}^1 | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \sqrt{\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle^2 + \delta_1 e^{-2kt}}$ | $\sqrt{t \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k} (1 - e^{-2kt}) + \frac{\delta_1}{k^2} (1 - e^{-kt})^2} - \sqrt{t \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k} (1 - e^{-2kt})} = z_0 $ |
| 2 | I | $\max \left\{ 0, \frac{\delta_0}{ z_0 } + 2\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle \right\}$ | $\left(\frac{ z_0 }{\rho_0 - \sigma_0} \right)^2$ |
| 3 | $I_{\text{exp}}^1 I$ | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2 z_0 } + \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \rho_1^2 e^{-2kt}}$ | $\frac{\rho_1}{k} (1 - e^{-2kt}) - \sigma_0 \sqrt{t} = z_0 $ |
| 4 | $I_{\text{exp}}^1 I_{\text{exp}}^2$ | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2 z_0 } + \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \delta_1 e^{-2kt}}$ | $\sqrt{t \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k} (1 - e^{-2kt}) + \frac{\delta_1}{k^2} (1 - e^{-kt})^2} - \sqrt{t \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k} (1 - e^{-2kt}) + \sigma_0^2\right)} = z_0 $ |

| | | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| 5 | $I_{\text{exp}}^2 I$ | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 } + \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \rho_1^2 e^{-2kt}}$ | $\sqrt{\rho_0^2 t + \frac{\rho_1^2}{k^2}(1 - e^{-kt})^2} - \sigma_0 \sqrt{t} = z_0 $ |
| 6 | $I_{\text{exp}}^2 I_{\text{exp}}^1$ | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\rho_0^2}{2 z_0 } + \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\rho_0^2}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \delta_1 e^{-2kt}}$ | $\sqrt{t \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt}) + \rho_0^2\right) + \frac{\delta_1}{k^2}(1 - e^{-kt})^2} - \sqrt{t \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt})} = z_0 $ |
| 7 | II_{exp}^2 | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 } + \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 - \sigma_1^2 e^{-2kt}}$ | $\frac{2 z_0 }{\sqrt{\mu_0^2 - 4\sigma_1^2}} + \mu_0$ |
| 8 | II_{exp}^1 | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\rho_0^2}{2 z_0 } + \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\rho_0^2}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 - \sigma_1^2 e^{-2kt}}$ | $\frac{4 z_0 ^2}{\rho_0^2 + \sqrt{\rho_0^2 - 16\sigma_1^2} z_0 ^2}$ |
| 9 | L | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 } + \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \delta_1}$ | $\sqrt{\rho_1^2 t^2 + \rho_0^2 t} - \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 t^2 + \sigma_0^2 t} = z_0 $ |
| 10 | GL | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2 z_0 } + \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \delta_1}$ | $\rho_1 t - \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 t^2 + \sigma_0^2 t} = z_0 $ |
| 11 | LI | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 } + \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \rho_1^2}$ | $\sqrt{\rho_1^2 t^2 + \rho_0^2 t} - \sigma_0 \sqrt{t} = z_0 $ |
| 12 | LG | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\rho_0^2}{2 z_0 } + \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\rho_0^2}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \delta_1}$ | $\sqrt{\rho_1^2 t^2 + \rho_0^2 t} - \sigma_1 t = z_0 $ |
| 13 | G | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \sqrt{\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle^2 + \delta_1}$ | $\frac{ z_0 }{\rho_0 - \sigma_0}$ |
| 14 | GI | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2 z_0 } + \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \rho_1^2}$ | $\rho_1 t - \sigma_0 \sqrt{t} = z_0 $ |
| 15 | IL | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 } + \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 - \sigma_1^2}$ | $\rho_0 \sqrt{t} - \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 t^2 + \sigma_0^2 t} = z_0 $ |
| 16 | IG | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\rho_0^2}{2 z_0 } + \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\rho_0^2}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 - \sigma_1^2}$ | $\rho_0 \sqrt{t} - \sigma_1 t = z_0 $ |

| | | | |
|----|----------------------|---|---|
| 17 | I_{exp}^2 | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 } +$ $+ \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \delta_1 e^{-2kt}}$ | $\sqrt{t \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt}) + \rho_0^2\right) + \frac{\delta_1}{k^2}(1 - e^{-kt})^2} -$ $-\sqrt{t \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt}) + \sigma_0^2\right)} = z_0 $ |
| 18 | $I_{\text{exp}}^2 G$ | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\rho_0^2}{2 z_0 } +$ $+ \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\rho_0^2}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \rho_1^2 e^{-2kt} - \sigma_1^2}$ | $\sqrt{t\rho_0^2 + t^2\rho_1^2 e^{-2kt}} - \sigma_1 t = z_0 $ |
| 19 | $I_{\text{exp}}^2 L$ | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 } +$ $+ \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \rho_1^2 e^{-2kt} - \sigma_1^2}$ | $\sqrt{t^2\rho_1^2 e^{-2kt} + \delta_0 t} - \sigma_1 t = z_0 $ |
| 20 | GI_{exp}^2 | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2 z_0 } +$ $+ \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \rho_1^2 - \sigma_1^2 e^{-2kt}}$ | $\sqrt{t\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt}) + \delta_1 t^2} -$ $-\sqrt{t\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt}) + \sigma_0^2 t} = z_0 $ |
| 21 | LI_{exp}^2 | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 } +$ $+ \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\delta_0}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \rho_1^2 - \sigma_1^2 e^{-2kt}}$ | $\sqrt{t\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt}) + \rho_0^2 t + \delta_1 t^2} -$ $-\sqrt{t\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt}) + \sigma_0^2 t} = z_0 $ |
| 22 | $I_{\text{exp}}^1 G$ | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \sqrt{\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle^2 + \rho_1^2 e^{-2kt} - \sigma_1^2}$ | $t(\rho_1 e^{-kt} - \sigma_1) = z_0 $ |
| 23 | $I_{\text{exp}}^1 L$ | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2 z_0 } +$ $+ \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \rho_1^2 e^{-2kt} - \sigma_1^2}$ | $\rho_1 e^{-kt} t - \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 t^2 + \sigma_0^2 t} = z_0 $ |
| 24 | GI_{exp}^1 | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \sqrt{\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle^2 + \rho_1^2 - \sigma_1^2 e^{-2kt}}$ | $\sqrt{t\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt}) + \delta_1 t^2} -$ $-\sqrt{t\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt})} = z_0 $ |
| 25 | LI_{exp}^1 | $\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\rho_0^2}{2 z_0 } +$ $+ \sqrt{\left(\langle v, \xi_0 \rangle + \frac{\rho_0^2}{2 z_0 }\right)^2 + \rho_1^2 - \sigma_1^2 e^{-2kt}}$ | $\sqrt{t\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt}) + \rho_0^2 t + \delta_1 t^2} -$ $-\sqrt{t\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2k}(1 - e^{-2kt})} = z_0 $ |

where the guaranteed capture time in cases 1, 3–6, 9–12, 14–25 is equal to the first positive root of the given equations.

Conclusion

In the paper, we have investigated the differential game of pursuit with simple motions when the controls of the Pursuer and the Evader are subjected to the integral constraints of a nonlinear type. The zone of reachability of each player at the current time has been presented. To solve the pursuit problem, we have proposed the parallel pursuit strategy (the II-strategy) for the Pursuer, and sufficient conditions for the capture have been determined. At the end of the paper, it has been shown that the proposed constraint is a generalization of the previously known geometric, integral, and linear constraints on the players' controls. Moreover, in accordance with this work, we will meet a broad scope of game problems for further studies. For instance, differential games of many players with nonlinear integral constraints on the controls of players can be considered in the future.

Acknowledgments. We wish to thank prof. A. A. Azamov for discussing this paper and for providing some useful comments.

REFERENCES

1. Isaacs R. *Differential games*, New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1965.
2. Berkovitz L. D. A variational approach to differential games, *Advances in Game Theory. (AM-52)*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1964, pp. 127–174.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400882014-011>
3. Fleming W. H. The convergence problem for differential games, II, *Advances in Game Theory. (AM-52)*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1964, pp. 195–210.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400882014-013>
4. Friedman A. *Differential games*, Courier Corporation, 2013.
5. Pontryagin L. S. *Izbrannye trudy* (Selected Works), Moscow: MAKS Press, 2004.
6. Krasovskii N. N., Subbotin A. I. *Game-theoretical control problems*, New York: Springer, 1988.
7. Petrosyan L. A. A family of differential games of survival into the space R^n , *Soviet Mathematics. Doklady*, 1965, vol. 6, pp. 377–380. <https://zbmath.org/0137.38702>
8. Pshenichnyi B. N., Ostapenko V. V. *Differential games*, Kiev: Naukova Dumka, 1992.
9. Chikrii A. A., Belousov A. A. On linear differential games with integral constraints, *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 2010, vol. 269, suppl. 1, pp. S69–S80.
<https://doi.org/10.1134/S0081543810060076>
10. Kim D. P. *Metody poiska i presledovaniya podvizhnykh ob"ektov* (Methods for the search and pursuit of moving objects), Moscow: Nauka, 1989.
11. Satimov N. Yu. *Metody resheniya zadachi presledovaniya v teorii differentsial'nykh igr* (Methods of solving of pursuit problem in differential games), Tashkent: National University of Uzbekistan, 2003.
12. Rikhsiev B. B. *Differentsial'nye igry s prostym dvizheniem* (Differential games with simple motions), Tashkent: Fan, 1989.
13. Azamov A. A., Samatov B. T. The II-strategy: Analogies and applications, *Contributions to Game Theory and Management*, 2011, vol. 4, pp. 33–46. <https://www.mathnet.ru/eng/cgtml177>
14. Pshenichnyi B. N. Simple pursuit by several objects, *Cybernetics*, 1976, vol. 12, issue 3, pp. 484–485.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01070036>
15. Pshenichnyi B. N., Chikrii A. A., Rappoport I. S. An efficient method of solving differential games with many pursuers, *Soviet Mathematics. Doklady*, 1981, vol. 23, pp. 104–109.
<https://zbmath.org/0517.90105>
16. Chikrii A. A. *Conflict-controlled processes*, Dordrecht: Springer, 1997.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-1135-7>
17. Petrosyan L. A. Pursuit games with “a survival zone”, *Vestnik Leningradskogo Universiteta. Matematika, Mekhanika, Astronomiya*, 1967, vol. 13, pp. 76–85 (in Russian). <https://zbmath.org/0207.50906>
18. Petrosjan L. A. *Differential games of pursuit*, Singapore: World Scientific, 1993.
<https://doi.org/10.1142/1670>
19. Petrov N. N. Conflict controlled processes by interaction of controlled object groups, *Izvestiya Instituta Matematiki i Informatiki Udmurtskogo Gosudarstvennogo Universiteta*, 2005, issue 4 (34), pp. 81–102 (in Russian). <https://www.mathnet.ru/eng/iimi101>
20. Petrov N. N. Simple group pursuit subject to phase constraints and data delay, *Journal of Computer and Systems Sciences International*, 2018, vol. 57, issue 1, pp. 37–42.
<https://doi.org/10.1134/S1064230718010094>
21. Blagodatskikh A. I., Petrov N. N. *Konfliktnoe vzaimodeistvie grupp upravlyaemykh ob"ektov* (Conflict interaction of groups of controlled objects), Izhevsk: Udmurt State University, 2009.
22. Grigorenko N. L. *Matematicheskie metody upravleniya neskol'kimi dinamicheskimi protsessami* (Mathematical methods for control of several dynamic processes), Moscow: Moscow State University, 1990.
23. Azamov A. On the quality problem for simple pursuit games with constraint, *Serdica Bulgariacae Mathematicae Publicationes*, 1986, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 38–43 (in Russian).

24. Samatov B. T. On a pursuit–evasion problem under a linear change of the pursuer resource, *Siberian Advances in Mathematics*, 2013, vol. 23, issue 4, pp. 294–302. <https://doi.org/10.3103/S1055134413040056>
25. Samatov B. T. The pursuit-evasion problem under integral-geometric constraints on pursuer controls, *Automation and Remote Control*, 2013, vol. 74, issue 7, pp. 1072–1081. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0005117913070023>
26. Samatov B. T. Problems of group pursuit with integral constraints on controls of the players. I, *Cybernetics and Systems Analysis*, 2013, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 756–767. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10559-013-9563-7>
27. Samatov B. T. Problems of group pursuit with integral constraints on controls of the players. II, *Cybernetics and Systems Analysis*, 2013, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 907–921. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10559-013-9581-5>
28. Samatov B. T. The II-strategy in a differential game with linear control constraints, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 2014, vol. 78, no. 3, pp. 258–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappmathmech.2014.09.008>
29. Samatov B. T., Khorilov M. A., Akbarov A. Kh. Differential games with the non-stationary integral constraints on controls, *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics*, 2021, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 39–46.
30. Dar'in A. N., Kurzanskii A. B. Control under indeterminacy and double constraints, *Differential Equations*, 2003, vol. 39, no. 11, pp. 1554–1567. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:DIEQ.0000019347.24930.a3>
31. Kornev D. V., Lukoyanov N. Yu. On a minimax control problem for a positional functional under geometric and integral constraints on control actions, *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 2016, vol. 293, suppl. 1, pp. S85–S100. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0081543816050096>
32. Ibragimov G. I. A game of optimal pursuit of one object by several, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 1998, vol. 62, issue 2, pp. 187–192. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8928\(98\)00024-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8928(98)00024-0)
33. Ibragimov G. I. Optimal pursuit with countably many pursuers and one evader, *Differential Equations*, 2005, vol. 41, issue 5, pp. 627–635. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10625-005-0198-y>
34. Samatov B. T., Juraev B. I. Pursuit–evasion problems under nonlinear increase of the pursuer's resource, *Trudy Instituta Matematiki i Mekhaniki UrO RAN*, 2022, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 285–295. <https://doi.org/10.21538/0134-4889-2022-28-3-285-295>
35. Samatov B. T., Juraev B. I. Pursuit–evasion problems with a constraint for energy expenditure of velocity, *Uzbek Mathematical Journal*, 2022, vol. 66, issue 4, pp. 146–155.
36. Ostapenko V. V., Ryzhkova I. L. Linear differential games with different type integrated restriction, *System Research and Information Technologies*, 2002, no. 1, pp. 141–153 (in Russian). <http://journal.iasa.kpi.ua/article/view/176742>
37. Azamov A. A., Kuchkarov A. Sh., Samatov B. The relation between problems of pursuit, controllability and stability in the large in linear systems with different types of constraints, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 2007, vol. 71, issue 2, pp. 229–233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappmathmech.2007.06.006>
38. Petrov N. N. To a nonstationary group pursuit problem with phase constraints, *Automation and Remote Control*, 2014, vol. 75, issue 8, pp. 1525–1531. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0005117914080153>
39. Salimi M., Ferrara M. Differential game of optimal pursuit of one evader by many pursuers, *International Journal of Game Theory*, 2019, vol. 48, issue 2, pp. 481–490. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00182-018-0638-6>
40. Ahmed I., Kumam W., Ibragimov G., Rilwan J. Pursuit differential game problem with multiple players on a closed convex set with more general integral constraints, *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, 2020, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 551–561. <http://thaijmath.in.cmu.ac.th/index.php/thaijmath/article/view/3203>
41. Ibragimov G., Ferrara M., Ruziboev M., Pansera B. A. Linear evasion differential game of one evader and several pursuers with integral constraints, *International Journal of Game Theory*, 2021, vol. 50, issue 3, pp. 729–750. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00182-021-00760-6>

42. Kotlyachkova E. V. About non-stationary problem of simple pursuit in the class of impulse strategies, *Izvestiya Instituta Matematiki i Informatiki Udmurtskogo Gosudarstvennogo Universiteta*, 2015, issue 1 (45), pp. 106–113 (in Russian). <https://www.mathnet.ru/eng/iimi295>
43. Chikrii A. A., Chikrii G. Ts. Matrix resolving functions in game problems of dynamics, *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 2015, vol. 291, suppl. 1, pp. S56–S65. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0081543815090047>
44. Mamadaliev N. A. The pursuit problem for linear games with integral constraints on players' controls, *Russian Mathematics*, 2020, vol. 64, issue 3, pp. 9–24. <https://doi.org/10.3103/s1066369x20030020>
45. Tukhtasinov M. Linear differential pursuit game with impulse control and linear integral constraint of controls of players, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 2020, vol. 245, issue 3, pp. 23–39. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-020-04674-8>
46. Mamadaliev N. On a pursuit problem with integral constraints on the players' controls, *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 2015, vol. 56, issue 1, pp. 107–124. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0037446615010115>
47. Ushakov V. N. Extremal strategies in differential games with integral constraints, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 1972, vol. 36, issue 1, pp. 12–19. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8928\(72\)90076-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8928(72)90076-7)
48. Ushakov V. N., Ershov A. A., Ushakov A. V., Kuvshinov O. A. Control system depending on a parameter, *Ural Mathematical Journal*, 2021, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 120–159. <https://doi.org/10.15826/umj.2021.1.011>
49. Samatov B. T., Akbarov A. Kh., Zhuraev B. I. Pursuit–evasion differential games with Gr-constraints on controls, *Izvestiya Instituta Matematiki i Informatiki Udmurtskogo Gosudarstvennogo Universiteta*, 2022, vol. 59, pp. 67–84. <https://doi.org/10.35634/2226-3594-2022-59-06>

Received 08.01.2023

Accepted 31.03.2023

Bahrom Tadjiahmatovich Samatov, Doctor of Physics and Mathematics, Professor, Department of Mathematical Analysis, Namangan State University, ul. Uychi, 316, Namangan, 116019, Uzbekistan.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0734-8507>

E-mail: samatov57@inbox.ru

Mahmud Abdumalikovich Horilov, Assistant Lecturer, Department of Mathematical Analysis, Namangan State University, ul. Uychi, 316, Namangan, 116019, Uzbekistan.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7806-6187>

E-mail: mxorilov86@mail.ru

Bahodirjon Inomjon ugli Juraev, Doctoral Student, Department of Mathematical, Andijon State University, ul. Universitetskaya, 129, Andijan, 170100, Uzbekistan.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6920-4314>

E-mail: jbahodirjon@bk.ru

Citation: B. T. Samatov, M. A. Horilov, B. I. Juraev. II-strategy for a differential game of pursuit with integral constraints of a generalized type, *Vestnik Udmurtskogo Universiteta. Matematika. Mekhanika. Komp'yuternye Nauki*, 2023, vol. 33, issue 2, pp. 293–311.

Б. Т. Саматов, М. А. Хориллов, Б. И. Жураев

II-стратегия для дифференциальной игры преследования с интегральными ограничениями обобщенного типа

Ключевые слова: дифференциальные игры, нелинейное интегральное ограничение, преследователь, убегающий, стратегия, преследование, гарантированное время захвата.

УДК 517.977

DOI: [10.35634/vm230208](https://doi.org/10.35634/vm230208)

В статье исследуется дифференциальная игра простого преследования, когда на управления двух противоборствующих игроков накладываются интегральные ограничения обобщенного типа. Обобщенность предлагаемого ограничения заключается в том, что оно включает в себя ранее известные ограничения, такие как интегральные, геометрические, линейные, экспоненциальные и их смешанности. В общем, оно включает в себя 25 типов задач преследования с такими разнотипными ограничениями. Для решения задачи преследования при таких обобщенных ограничениях предлагается стратегия параллельного преследования (сокращенно II-стратегия) и находятся достаточные условия разрешимости этой задачи. В конце статьи предлагаются таблицы, где приводятся каждый частный тип игры, условия ее разрешимости, разрешающая функция (определяющая соответствующую II-стратегию) и время поимки.

СПИСОК ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

1. Айзекс Р. Дифференциальные игры. М.: Мир, 1967.
2. Berkovitz L. D. A variational approach to differential games // *Advances in Game Theory*. (AM-52). Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1964. P. 127–174.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400882014-011>
3. Fleming W. H. The convergence problem for differential games, II // *Advances in Game Theory*. (AM-52). Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1964. P. 195–210.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400882014-013>
4. Friedman A. *Differential games*. Courier Corporation, 2013.
5. Понтрягин Л. С. *Избранные труды*. М.: МАКС Пресс, 2004.
6. Красовский Н. Н., Субботин А. И. *Позиционные дифференциальные игры*. М.: Наука, 1974.
7. Петросян Л. А. Об одном семействе дифференциальных игр на выживание в пространстве R^n // Доклады Академии наук СССР. 1965. Т. 161. № 1. С. 52–54. <https://www.mathnet.ru/rus/dan30817>
8. Пшеничный Б. Н., Остапенко В. В. *Дифференциальные игры*. Киев: Наукова думка, 1992.
9. Чикрий А. А., Белоусов А. А. О линейных дифференциальных играх с интегральными ограничениями // Труды Института математики и механики УрО РАН. 2009. Т. 15. № 4. С. 290–301.
<https://www.mathnet.ru/rus/timm444>
10. Ким Д. П. *Методы поиска и преследования подвижных объектов*. М.: Наука, 1989.
11. Сатимов Н. Ю. *Методы решения задачи преследования в теории дифференциальных игр*. Ташкент: Изд-во НУУз, 2003.
12. Рихсиев Б. Б. *Дифференциальные игры с простым движением*. Ташкент: Фан, 1989.
13. Azamov A. A., Samatov V. T. The II-strategy: Analogies and applications // *Contributions to Game Theory and Management*. 2011. Vol. 4. P. 33–46. <https://www.mathnet.ru/rus/cgtm177>
14. Пшеничный Б. Н. Простое преследование несколькими объектами // *Кибернетика*. 1976. № 3. С. 145–146.
15. Пшеничный Б. Н., Чикрий А. А., Раппопорт И. С. Эффективный метод решения дифференциальных игр со многими преследователями // Доклады Академии наук СССР. 1981. Т. 256. № 3. С. 530–535. <https://www.mathnet.ru/rus/dan44191>
16. Чикрий А. А. *Конфликтно управляемые процессы*. Киев: Наукова думка, 1992.

17. Петросян Л. А. Игры преследования с «линией жизни» // Вестник Ленинградского университета. 1967. Т. 3. № 13. С. 76–85.
18. Petrosjan L. A. Differential games of pursuit. Singapore: World Scientific, 1993.
<https://doi.org/10.1142/1670>
19. Петров Н. Н. Конфликтно управляемые процессы при взаимодействии групп управляемых объектов // Известия Института математики и информатики Удмуртского государственного университета. 2005. Вып. 4 (34). С. 81–102. <https://www.mathnet.ru/rus/iimi101>
20. Petrov N. N. Simple group pursuit subject to phase constraints and data delay // Journal of Computer and Systems Sciences International. 2018. Vol. 57. Issue 1. P. 37–42.
<https://doi.org/10.1134/S1064230718010094>
21. Благодатских А. И., Петров Н. Н. Конфликтное взаимодействие групп управляемых объектов. Ижевск: Удмуртский университет, 2009.
22. Григоренко Н. Л. Математические методы управления несколькими динамическими процессами. М.: Изд-во Моск. ун-та, 1990.
23. Азамов А. О задаче качества для игр простого преследования с ограничением // Сердика. Българско математическо списание. 1986. Т. 12. № 1. С. 38–43.
24. Саматов Б. Т. О задаче преследования–убегания при линейном изменении ресурса преследователя // Математические труды. 2012. Т. 15. № 2. С. 159–171. <https://www.mathnet.ru/rus/mt234>
25. Саматов Б. Т. Задача преследования–убегания при интегрально-геометрических ограничениях на управления преследователя // Автоматика и телемеханика. 2013. Вып. 7. С. 17–28.
<https://www.mathnet.ru/rus/at5465>
26. Саматов Б. Т. О задачах группового преследования при интегральных ограничениях на управление игроков. I // Кибернетика и системный анализ. 2013. Т. 49. № 5. С. 132–145.
<http://www.kibernetika.org/volumes/2013/numbers/05/articles/13/ArticleDetailsRU.html>
27. Саматов Б. Т. О задачах группового преследования при интегральных ограничениях на управление игроков. II // Кибернетика и системный анализ. 2013. Т. 49. № 6. С. 120–136.
<http://www.kibernetika.org/volumes/2013/numbers/06/articles/13/ArticleDetailsRU.html>
28. Саматов Б. Т. П-стратегия в дифференциальной игре с линейными ограничениями по управлению // Прикладная математика и механика. 2014. Т. 78. № 3. С. 369–377.
<https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=21727041>
29. Samatov B. T., Khorilov M. A., Akbarov A. Kh. Differential games with the non-stationary integral constraints on controls // Бюллетень Института математики. 2021. Т. 4. № 4. С. 39–46.
30. Дарьин А. Н., Куржанский А. Б. Управление в условиях неопределенности при двойных ограничениях // Дифференциальные уравнения. 2003. Т. 39. № 11. С. 1474–1486.
<https://www.mathnet.ru/rus/de10938>
31. Корнев Д. В., Лукоянов Н. Ю. К задаче управления на минимум позиционного функционала при геометрических и интегральных ограничениях на управляющие воздействия // Труды Института математики и механики УрО РАН. 2015. Т. 21. № 2. С. 87–101.
<https://www.mathnet.ru/rus/timm1173>
32. Ибрагимов Г. И. Об одной игре оптимального преследования несколькими объектами одного // Прикладная математика и механика. 1998. Т. 62. Вып. 2. С. 199–205.
33. Ибрагимов Г. И. Оптимальное преследование счетными преследующими одного // Дифференциальные уравнения. 2005. Т. 41. № 5. С. 603–610. <https://www.mathnet.ru/rus/de11274>
34. Samatov B. T., Juraev B. I. Pursuit–evasion problems under nonlinear increase of the pursuer’s resource // Труды Института математики и механики УрО РАН. 2022. Т. 28. № 3. С. 285–295.
<https://doi.org/10.21538/0134-4889-2022-28-3-285-295>
35. Samatov B. T., Juraev B. I. Pursuit–evasion problems with a constraint for energy expenditure of velocity // Узбекский математический журнал. 2022. Т. 6. Вып. 4. С. 146–155.
36. Остапенко В. В., Рыжкова И. Л. Линейные дифференциальные игры с разнотипными интегральными ограничениями // Системні дослідження та інформаційні технології. 2002. № 1. С. 141–153. <http://journal.iasa.kpi.ua/article/view/176742>
37. Азамов А. А., Кучкаров А. Ш., Саматов Б. О связи между задачами преследования, управляемо-

- сти и устойчивости в целом в линейных системах с разнотипными ограничениями // Прикладная математика и механика. 2007. Т. 71. Вып. 2. С. 259–263.
<https://www.elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=9486344>
38. Петров Н. Н. К нестационарной задаче группового преследования с фазовыми ограничениями // Математическая теория игр и её приложения. 2010. Т. 2. Вып. 4. С. 74–83.
<https://www.mathnet.ru/rus/mgta48>
39. Salimi M., Ferrara M. Differential game of optimal pursuit of one evader by many pursuers // International Journal of Game Theory. 2019. Vol. 48. Issue 2. P. 481–490.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00182-018-0638-6>
40. Ahmed I., Kumam W., Ibragimov G., Rilwan J. Pursuit differential game problem with multiple players on a closed convex set with more general integral constraints // Thai Journal of Mathematics. 2020. Vol. 18. No. 2. P. 551–561. <http://thaijmath.in.cmu.ac.th/index.php/thaijmath/article/view/3203>
41. Ibragimov G., Ferrara M., Ruziboev M., Pansera B. A. Linear evasion differential game of one evader and several pursuers with integral constraints // International Journal of Game Theory. 2021. Vol. 50. Issue 3. P. 729–750. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00182-021-00760-6>
42. Котлячкова Е. В. К нестационарной задаче простого преследования в классе импульсных стратегий // Известия Института математики и информатики Удмуртского государственного университета. 2015. Вып. 1 (45). С. 106–113. <https://www.mathnet.ru/rus/iimi295>
43. Чикрий А. А., Чикрий Г. Ц. Матричные разрешающие функции в игровых задачах динамики // Труды Института математики и механики УрО РАН. 2014. Т. 20. № 3. С. 324–333.
<https://www.mathnet.ru/rus/timm1103>
44. Мамадалиев Н. А. Задача преследования для линейных игр с интегральными ограничениями на управления игроков // Известия высших учебных заведений. Математика. 2020. № 3. С. 12–28.
<https://doi.org/10.26907/0021-3446-2020-3-12-28>
45. Tukhtasinov M. Linear differential pursuit game with impulse control and linear integral constraint of controls of players // Journal of Mathematical Sciences. 2020. Vol. 245. Issue 3. P. 23–39.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-020-04674-8>
46. Мамадалиев Н. Об одной задаче преследования с интегральными ограничениями на управления игроков // Сибирский математический журнал. 2015. Т. 56. № 1. С. 129–148.
<https://www.mathnet.ru/rus/smj2627>
47. Ушаков В. Н. Экстремальные стратегии в дифференциальных играх с интегральными ограничениями // Прикладная математика и механика. 1972. Т. 36. Вып. 1. С. 15–23.
<https://www.elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=32817114>
48. Ushakov V. N., Ershov A. A., Ushakov A. V., Kuvshinov O. A. Control system depending on a parameter // Ural Mathematical Journal. 2021. Vol. 7. No. 1. P. 120–159.
<https://doi.org/10.15826/umj.2021.1.011>
49. Samatov B. T., Akbarov A. Kh., Zhuraev B. I. Pursuit–evasion differential games with Gr-constraints on controls // Известия Института математики и информатики Удмуртского государственного университета. 2022. Т. 59. С. 67–84. <https://doi.org/10.35634/2226-3594-2022-59-06>

Поступила в редакцию 08.01.2023

Принята к публикации 31.03.2023

Саматов Бахром Таджихматович, д. ф.-м. н., профессор, кафедра математического анализа, Наманганский государственный университет, 116019, Узбекистан, г. Наманган, ул. Уйчи, 316.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0734-8507>

E-mail: samatov57@inbox.ru

Хориров Махмуд Абдумаликович, ассистент, кафедра математического анализа, Наманганский государственный университет, 116019, Узбекистан, г. Наманган, ул. Уйчи, 316.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7806-6187>

E-mail: mxorilov86@mail.ru

Жураев Баходиржон Иномжон угли, докторант, кафедра математического анализа, Андижанский государственный университет, 170100, Узбекистан, г. Андижан, ул. Университетская, 129.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6920-4314>

E-mail: jbahodirjon@bk.ru

Цитирование: Б. Т. Саматов, М. А. Хориров, Б. И. Жураев. П-стратегия для дифференциальной игры преследования с интегральными ограничениями обобщенного типа // Вестник Удмуртского университета. Математика. Механика. Компьютерные науки. 2023. Т. 33. Вып. 2. С. 293–311.